

Alan Watt
"Cutting Through The Matrix" Live On RBN (#256)

Poem Copyright Alan Watt Feb. 13, 2009:

No Steps Forward, All Steps Back:

"THX 1138 is a Movie, Predicted
Agitation on Camera will Have You Convicted,
Should You Miss Your Soma Pills, Happy Effect,
Mandatory for Masses, Not for the Elect,
Now Here in Reality, the Cameras are There
Watching Your Actions, Gauging Your Stare,
Analyzing Your Voice for Aggressive Inflection
And Signs of Other Psychic Infection,
What of the People as They Lose All Liberty?
Chasing Ghosts and Trivia, Signs of Debility,
Constitutions are Ripped All Asunder,
As Rich Men Drool Over a World of Plunder,
What of the Songs that Nations Once Sang?
As Man Goes Out with a Whimper, Never a Bang"

© Alan Watt Feb. 13, 2009

Friday February 13th 2009

Poem & Dialogue Copyrighted Alan Watt - Feb. 13, 2009 (Exempting Music,
Literary Quotes, and Callers' Comments)

cuttingthroughthematrix.com

alternate sites:

cuttingthroughthematrix.net , .us , .ca

alanwattcuttingthroughthematrix.ca

European site includes all audios & downloadable TRANSCRIPTS in European
languages for print up:

alanwattsentientsentinel.eu

mirror site:

cuttingthrough.jenknness.com

**Information for purchasing Alan's books, CDs, DVDs and
DONATIONS:**

Canada and America: PayPal, Cash, personal checks &
for the US, *INTERNATIONAL* postal money orders / for Canada, *INTERNAL* postal
money orders
(America: Postal Money orders - Stress the **INTERNATIONAL** pink one, not the green
internal one.)

Outside the Americas: PayPal, Cash, Western Union and Money Gram
(Money Gram is cheaper; even cheaper is a Money Gram check - in Canadian dollars:
mail via the postal services worldwide.)

PayPal Orders: USE THE [DONATE](#) BUTTON ON THE WEBSITE - AND -
Send a separate email along with the donation (list your order, name and address)

Click the link below for your location (ordering info):

[USA](#)

[Canada](#)

[Europe/Scandinavian](#)

[All Other Countries](#)

Hi folks, I am Alan Watt, this is Cutting Through The Matrix on Friday the 13th of February 2009.

I always ask the newcomers to look into www.cuttingthroughthematrix.com and download as many of the previous talks I've given as they wish, where I try to go into some of the histories of this very complex system that's now forging ahead, pretty-well openly. And: showing you how it's done, the foundations behind it, the organisations; and it really is a very ancient system indeed, even though I've only given you a couple of hundred years of it, because it goes much-much further back than that. Knowledge is never discarded; it's always kept in archives, for future use, especially when it's to do with understanding human behaviour.

Also look into www.alanwattsentientsentinel.eu for transcripts of these talks, which you can download, print up and they're written in the various languages of Europe.

For those who listen to these shows: I always ask, in the beginning, that you go into www.cuttingthroughthematrix.com website and you can see what's for sale there. That keeps me going, there's not much; and you can also donate to me, that keeps things just ticking along here. And I know it's bad in these times of economic depression, and that's what we're truly in, planned economic depression, but we have to struggle through it some way or another.

It's fascinating, as I say: when you've read so many books and you've lived long enough to see many of the things that were written about come to pass. Not because people had crystal balls just to gaze into, or because they read the stars, it's because, literally, we live through a plan, an agenda. They can never rush ahead too quickly because it's incremental, it goes inter-generationally. We, as human beings, like things to be familiar to us. At one time, things didn't change much between grandparents to the children, life was always much the same and steady; well, that's all been destroyed, intentionally so, because once you destroy it - and there's no past to fall back on that's familiar to you - you're now in flux, you're in a state of change; and those who control the direction of change can bring it on all the quicker.

That is what we're living through today: all the past has gone; education, much of it, has gone down the memory whole, as far as history goes. Therefore, those who are alive today, who kind of float through life, getting fed trivia, by the media; and, interspersed between the trivia, they get little bits of what's happening, but never the real reasons behind it and, definitely, never the fact that it's part of an agenda. You have to go into the books written by the big players themselves, down through the years, and they do publish them. However, it's dry reading; and, generally, not always, but generally, they never meet the best seller's book club of the year list. They're put out really for people who are into organising people, organising nations, the controllers; and that's who reads these books, primarily. They do tend to put them into libraries, for those who ask for them; but even that's falling away today: many of the books are simply disappearing. The ones that go up on e-books, you know on the electronic book stores, often have chunks of them omitted completely; so those who are alive today will never really know the whole story, unless they have the original books.

That's how history is controlled, it's a very powerful thing, history, all knowledge is power and we have people who are always in charge of it, to ensure they stay in

power. And I'm going to read a couple of articles, after this break; and then continue with the latter part of Hope of the Wicked, to show you how things were incrementally put in.

=== BREAK ===

Hi folks, I'm Alan Watt and this is Cutting Through The Matrix, just mentioning the fact that history is guided, in fact, it's called *historical necessity*, as far as the big parts of the implementation go; and we're going through the period of crisis now that was planned to go into a new economic system. It's interesting too, that as we become, supposedly, more free and equal and all minorities get rights etc., that we're all going under more surveillance than ever before, why is that? Why is that? How can we be more and more free and yet the governments can't trust any of us, world-wide? And the answer is, you see, this is going into a world government, a planned system that none of us are going to like. It doesn't matter which group or ethnic group you belong to, or anything else, none of us will actually like it, because it'll end up being, eventually, a world where you serve the controllers and those who decided that they are the wise men.

This is a typical article that we're seeing all over the world, and have been for some time. This is from the BBC; and it's from the 13th of February, today:

CCTV cameras 'listen for trouble'

By Kenneth Macdonald, BBC Scotland Special Correspondent.

Security cameras have long been a fact of Scottish life, viewed with relief by many communities and with suspicion by civil libertarians. But what if they were listening to you as well?

Now, that really isn't news to me, because I know that they were putting in boom microphones in London City, along with the cameras a long time ago. This is to get them familiar, because, somehow, we acquiesce to everything that they put in front of us, like this article here. We say nothing about it; therefore, legally, down the road, that's ok. It says:

But what if they were listening to you as well? It has already happened in Glasgow. A Dutch company called Sound Intelligence carried out a two week long trial in a busy city centre street. They stress that their system, called Sigard, does not record conversations. It listens not to what is being said but how it is being said.

I don't know if you looked into the Hate Laws, because they have cases - in Canada for instance - where it wasn't really a matter of who you beat up, it was what were you thinking when you were beating them up. That was actually asked in court, to get the real intent behind it. So, this new security system:

It listens not what is being said but how it is being said. At the company's headquarters in the Dutch city of Amersfoort, Bram Kuipers explained that Sigard was listening for the changes that affect the human voice in an aggressive situation.

I think it was in the 1970s, and I've gone through some of the articles before, from the American Psychological Association, where they stated, quite categorically, they were going to push to have cameras in everybody's homes, watching them,

for aggressive responses, actions, gestures, or even movements of the face, so they could intervene before problems started. Well, you see, that's all coming, because with everyone hooked up to a computer and with, basically, many laptops, for instance, having the cameras already built in, people are being watched all the time. Eventually, it'll come to the stage where you'll be told, from your own screen, to go and take a certain pill from the cabinet; and they'll watch you as you do it, as you take it, that's coming down the road, that's so obvious. They're putting in cameras that are designed to watch your behaviour, basically, your movements and they're programmed in such a way as to detect them. Supposedly, it's fairly accurate, so they say. You're not allowed to show any anger, or even frustration anymore. It probably will be dangerous down the road to appear upset, or annoyed even, about something. That's what's coming.

Here's from Canada, this is happening all over the world, as I say. All newspapers now are interchangeable, as far as their news items, because they're all using the same techniques, from the top down. This is from the Globe and Mail. February the 12th:

New law to give police access to online exchanges, Canadians will be under surveillance, critics charge

by Bill Curry

It says here:

The Conservative government is preparing sweeping new eavesdropping legislation that will force Internet service providers to let police tap exchanges on their systems - but will likely reignite fear that Big Brother will be monitoring the private conversations of Canadians.

You know: a few years ago, in all the papers, they showed us banks of police all sitting at computers, scouring the internet, so they were already doing it. Does that mean they were doing it illegally before? or now they're giving themselves legal permission to do it? That's up in the air, we don't know but they have been doing it all along, because it's been in the newspapers. It says:

The goal of the move, which would require police to obtain court approval, is to close what has been described as digital "safe havens" for criminals,

It's always the same thing.

paedophiles and terrorists because current eavesdropping laws were written in a time before text messages, Facebook and voice-over-Internet phone lines.

In other words: we've all to get watched, because of a few criminals that will always be there; and the criminals always get round the laws, that's why they're criminals. It says:

The change is certain to please the RCMP and other police forces, who have sought it for some time. But it is expected to face resistance from industry players concerned about the cost and civil libertarians who warn the powers will effectively place Canadians under constant surveillance.

Well, of course, that's the intention of it; but what's the kicker? What can we do

about it? That's always what we ask: what can we do about it? Because, it's all coming from the top down, like some big Nero just making decrees and putting it into law. The public have no input into this whatsoever. Since when do police forces demand anything? They're supposed to do what they're told, on behalf of the people; but they demand legislation now, like a Non-Governmental Organisation. This is the kind of world we're going into, it's not a world that any of us would choose, I'm sure, unless you're a masochist.

I've been going on about the lead-up to the state we're in today, by going through a book called *Hope of the Wicked*, by Ted Flynn; and he has it in good order, how different parts have been implemented of this whole system, year by year and the various publications and books put out and the laws put out, year by year up to the present and I finished yesterday in 1985. The sad thing is, a lot of people will hear this stuff today and it will mean nothing to them, because you see, each generation is so altered from the previous generation, they'll say "so what?" Everything seems normal to you, in your lifetime, it seems normal. You're not in touch with the previous generation that would see any abnormality. Every child that's born thinks the system they're born into is normal; and if we're born into a system of total surveillance, like many of them have been already, they're growing up now thinking everything is quite normal. They think that having no privacy is normal; they don't know that wars were fought in the past to obtain privacy.

To continue with some of this, it's:

1987: *The Secret Constitution and the Need for Constitutional Change is sponsored in part by the Rockefeller Foundation.*

Well, who else?

Some thoughts of author Arthur S. Miller are:

"...a pervasive system of thought control exists in the United States...the citizenry is indoctrinated by employment of the mass media and the system of public education...people are told what to think about...the old order is crumbling..."

I've already gone through the system they used with the Bernays techniques that create the new American culture, destroy the old in the process and elevate government to the top.

Nationalism should be seen as a dangerous social disease...

That's what it said.

A new vision is required to plan and manage the future, a global vision that will transcend national boundaries and eliminate the poison of nationalistic solutions...a new Constitution is necessary."

That was sponsored, in part, funded by the Rockefeller Foundation. You should get a hold of it, it's an interesting read.

1988: *Former Under-secretary of State and CFR member George Ball in a January 24th interview in the New York Times says:*

"The Cold War should no longer be the kind of obsessive concern that it is. Neither side is going to attack the other deliberately..."

That was true.

If we could internationalize by using the U.N. in conjunction with the Soviet Union, because we now no longer have to fear, in most cases, a Soviet veto, then we could begin to transform the shape of the world and might get the U.N. back to doing something useful...Sooner or later we are going to have to face restructuring our institutions so that they are not confined merely to the nation-states. Start first on a regional and ultimately you could move to a world basis."

That's where we are today.

1988: *In an address to the U.N., Mikhail Gorbachev calls for mutual consensus:*

"World progress is only possible through a search for universal human consensus as we move forward to a new world order."

1989: *President Bush... Speaking to the graduating class at Texas A&M, says Mr. Bush states that the United States is ready to welcome the Soviet Union "back into the world order."*

I'll be back with more, after this break.

=== BREAK ===

Hi folks, I am Alan Watt and this is Cutting Through The Matrix. There's a couple of callers there, so I'll take Mike from Ontario, are you there Mike?

Mike: Hi Alan.

Alan: Hello.

Mike: Mike, a few hundred miles south of you here.

Alan: You are?

Mike: Yeah, I saw your place once there when I was going to Iroquois Falls, I'm sure you know where that is?

Alan: Yes, I do.

Mike: Anyway, what I'm really wondering about is that all I hear on this news and everything: do I really know who to believe?

Alan: On the news?

Mike: It comes from one side; it comes from the other side. I mean, I remember being in the '80s with the Cold War, that was clear cut, what's going on now?

Alan: Well, even during the whole time, I mean, that was proven by the Reece Commission in the '50s that the Cold War was a scam. I mean, the Commission, from the US Congress, went into all the foundations, to find out that they were funding all the NGOs back home, to help bring the Soviet system into the West, to unite it. Literally, the Cold War was a set-up, as they say; and there's many people who worked for MI5 and MI6 who've come out, even during that term and let the cat out of the bag. I think Peter Wright was one of them (he wrote Spycatcher),

and he said the same thing, he says how come the top people who are running the security systems of Britain, meaning his superiors, were in league with the superiors in the Soviet system and they couldn't keep anything secret. They were passing information at the very-very top. The guys at the bottom, the little spies were nothing at all. The guys in charge were actually in collusion with each other on both sides. It's the Hegelian Dialectic: to change the world, you've got to have an enemy. So, right after Adolf Hitler was out the way, Uncle Joe Stalin, and that's what he was called during World War II, he was the good guy, suddenly became the bad guy, the Bad Bear; and he was the good enemy for enough time until both sides, both cultures, were transformed by the Cold War and you bring in Unification. Because this was all planned that way and that's how, literally, it is done; that's how it's done, you can plan the whole century ahead and these guys do.

Mike: Sir, did you not have the feeling that life was more secure in the '80s?

Alan: Not really, no, because I did a lot of travelling, in Europe, and I was struck immediately by every little country in Europe seemed to be a little scientific project. I saw a socialist-type system that did benefit the people at the time, say in Norway. I'd go to Holland and find a different system, where they were literally paying men to stay at home and raise the children and paying the women to go out to work. So, there was experimentation going on and I thought, well, someone's in charge of all this experimentation; and, eventually, of course, what they've done is recombined all those experiments into the one world system that we're living through today. Things were really happening at that time and I also knew that the same laws, by travelling from country to country, laws were being declared on the media, in each country, as though it was their own. They wouldn't say this was being implemented in France and Britain at the same time, but they all were getting the same laws passed, at the same time, in every country, which meant there was already a controlling factor.

Mike: OK sir, thank you very much for your opinion.

Alan: Thanks for calling. Yes, it's confusing, because the media's not there to tell you the real purpose of everything, it's meant to either scare you, or make you think that you are getting the ultimate news. They never tell you the real purposes behind it. The person that was in charge, put in charge of the British security services during the Cold War, was Lord Victor Rothschild, he was in charge of all the British security systems and yet Peter Wright and others wrote books about it and claimed he was the main spy and he was, he was passing stuff to the guys in Russia. They didn't want accidents happening, so the guys at the top were in collusion, they made sure there were no accidents going to happen; no real bombs going to go off. There's a book called The Fifth Man, people should get a hold of it, it's well-documented, by a person who's used de-classified information, from government itself. It tells you all about Rothschild, he was put in charge of that, prior to that, he'd worked for the Military, during World War II; he was eventually put in charge of Porton Downs Bacterial Warfare Laboratory; and then he went back to the banking, for a while and his family, and then he was made Chief over all the security systems in Britain for the rest of the Cold War.

There's also Rico from Ontario on the line, are you there Rico?

Rico: Yes, I am, how are you Alan?

Alan: Yes.

Rico: Good, I have a quick question for you, if you could break something down for me. I'm like of Haitian background. You know, I was very proud of the fact that, you know, we had a 20 year Civil War for independence and everything like that and finally got it in 1804. You know, I've always been very proud of that; and I'm sure you're familiar, you know, Aristide was brought back and now the UN is there and they basically won't leave. So, I just wanted you to, if you could, because I've heard you talk before about Haiti, like just quickly, I wanted an actual like maybe breakdown and analysis, your opinion about that whole thing.

Alan: Again, it's one of the first places to ever truly organise itself and rebel against slavery and I often wonder if that's one of the reasons they've never forgiven it, to be honest with you. The UN has been in and out of there forever; and it looks like they're never were going to let it go. For strategic points and purposes, it must be of tremendous value. Back - after this break.

=== BREAK ===

Hi folks, I am Alan Watt and this is Cutting Through The Matrix; and, just before we go back to the book, we'll try Derek, if he's still on the line from Pennsylvania?

Derek: Hi, can you hear me Alan?

Alan: Yes.

Derek: Hi, actually someone's beeping me on my phone right now, can you hear me fine? Sorry.

Alan: Yes.

Derek: I wanted to ask about Jordan Maxwell, are you familiar with him?

Alan: I've seen his older stuff; I don't know what he's doing now.

Derek: Well, I recently looked into him on the Alex Jones show, I think it was last week and he was talking, he's a real, in my opinion, he's a big con man and he's actually a Hollywood guy, admittedly, he hangs out with all these Hollywood cool people, right! But, he's pitching this movie to Alex Jones and it just seems like he's taking what you say, maybe not specifically you, but it certainly is a lot of your types of, it's hard to even put my finger on it exactly, just the way you put things, I don't know, it's kind of shameful and, this beeping is really terrible, can I call you back?

Alan: You can try and call back into the show, sure.

I'll just read this part, from this book, as he's making the call; but, again, this is from Hope of the Wicked and it says:

1989: *Carl Bernstein's - of Watergate, Woodward and Bernstein book Loyalties: A Son's Memoir is published. His father and mother had been members of the Communist party. Bernstein's father tells his son about the book:*

"You're going to prove Sen. Joseph McCarthy was right, because all he was saying is that the system was loaded with Communists. And he was

right...I'm worried about the kind of book you're going to write and about cleaning up McCarthy. The problem is that everybody said he was a liar; you're saying he was right...I agree that the Party was a force in the country."

Well, there was no doubt the Party, the Communist Party, was a force in the country; as I say, often it used to astonish me and other people, in Europe, as to why the US was fighting abroad so much, against communism, when you could actually see it being taken over from within, it was very evident when you read the media. And who was funding it all? Remember Carroll Quigley said that that which the CFR, the Council on Foreign Relations, was doing was often mistaken to be the Communist Party, because, really, it's the same agenda; and not just the same agenda, the CFR and the big banks and bankers, who run the CFR, and the Royal Institute of International Affairs were funding the Soviet Union too, all through its existence.

It's interesting because even the term Communist in a sense was a front, to hide the CFR. The whole agenda we're seeing today, with the new economic system, they talk about a world where we'll serve the world state. This is all from the CFR's guidelines and I don't know if people truly understand that yet, it's maybe too hard a concept for them to understand, they cannot believe their lives will be changed so drastically, while the guys that own the money power can do as they wish because they are the money power and we're all dangling on their strings. That's the sad truth of it.

1990: *President Bush calls the Gulf War an opportunity for the New World Order.*

Remember again, the CFR always look at every disaster and call it an 'opportunity'.

In an address to Congress entitled Toward a New World Order, Mr. Bush says:

"The crisis in the Persian Gulf offers a rare opportunity to move toward an historic period of cooperation. Out of these troubled times... a new world order can emerge in which the nations of the world, east and west, north and south, can prosper and live in harmony.... Today the new world is struggling to be born."

A new world is struggling to be born. Who designed this baby?

1990: *In an address to the U.N., Soviet Foreign Minister Eduard Shevardnadze describes Iraq's invasion of Kuwait as "an act of terrorism [that] has been perpetrated against the emerging New World Order." On December 31, Gorbachev declares that the New World Order would be ushered in by the Gulf Crisis.*

And it certainly has, it's been on a roller coaster ever since. As I say, now we're all under observation; all of us, and it's going to get worse. Remember again, that under this group who runs this world, we are all mentally-ill, everyone's mentally-ill, except themselves. They have reason, we don't have reason; they don't believe in superstition, some of us have *superstition* as they call it, meaning religion. So, they're going to rectify that, using psychiatry.

1990: *In a U.N. address, President Bush speaks of the:*

"...collective strength of the world community expressed by the U.N....an historic movement towards a new world order... a new partnership of nations... a time when humankind came into its own... to bring about a revolution of the spirit and the mind and begin a journey into a... new age."

He's got everything in there: the new age, the whole thing.

1991: *Author Linda MacRae-Campbell publishes How to Start a Revolution at Your School In Context. She promotes the use of "change agents" as "self-acknowledged revolutionaries" and "co-conspirators."*

Look at all these organisations, as I say, see who funds them, it's the same bunch. People cannot get their heads round why would the rich people in the world fund what seems to be a left-wing organisation, or all left-wing organisations. Why would they do that? Sutton, Antony Sutton, came to the conclusion that they prefer a global society with centralised government, all under one world government, because, then they can lend to all nations and they're guaranteed payment from the governments. It's far easier than going door-to-door, collecting money from people who owe them, when they can get it guaranteed by governments.

1991: *President Bush praises the New World Order in a State of Union Message:*

"What is at stake is more than one small country, it is a big idea - a new world order... to achieve the universal aspirations of mankind... based on shared principles and the rule of law.... The illumination of a thousand points of light

You've heard that before.

.... The winds of change are with us now."

1991: *President Bush tells the Economic Club of New York:*

"My vision of a new world order foresees a United Nations with a revitalized peacekeeping function."

1991: *The Council on Foreign Relations co-sponsors an assembly Rethinking America's Security: Beyond Cold War to New World Order which is attended by 65 prestigious members of government, labor, academia, the media, military, and the professions from nine countries. Later, several of the conference participants joined some 100 other world leaders for another closed door meeting of the Bilderberg Society in Baden Baden, Germany. The Bilderbergers also exert considerable clout in determining the foreign policies of their respective governments.*

See, we've never had what we thought was democracy; and even the Republic of the US was completely walked right round, ignored. A republic was meant to contain the powers, the US was not meant to be a democratic system as such. It had a form of democracy, for voting etc., but no one was allowed to overthrow the Constitution, that was treason. Well, that's all been done, it's all been done. Plato himself said that a democracy leads to communism, which always leads to dictatorship. It's been done many times in the past.

1991: *David Rockefeller, speaking at the Bilderberger meeting, in Baden Baden Germany, also attended by then Governor Bill Clinton, states: "We're grateful to the Washington Post, The New York Times, Time Magazine and other great publications whose directors have attended our meetings and respected their promises of discretion for almost forty years.*

Meaning: they've kept their silence, they haven't talked about the meetings, they didn't tell the public what was going on. They go on to explain:

It would have been impossible to develop our plan for the world,

Did you vote Rockefeller in? Did any of us vote him in, or any of his cohorts? No.

if we'd been subject to the lights and publicity during those years. But the world is more sophisticated and prepared to march towards a world government. The supranational sovereignty of an intellectual elite and world bankers is surely preferable to the national auto-determination practiced in past centuries".

An intellectual elite and world bankers, they're the ones who now rule you, as I was saying before.

Now, I've got Dale from Michigan on the line, are you there Dale? Hello? Hello Dale?

Dale: Hello. I have the words of a song and a big subject for you to tackle, maybe in a future programme. The man who wrote the words to the music of the Wizard of Oz. Some of the lyrics were: "Once I built a railroad, made it run" yada-yada. Then another line is "Once I built a tower to the sun, brick and mortar and lime, once I built the tower, now it's done, brother can you spare a dime?" and I kept thinking paradigm, I know paradigm is in there somewhere and it's S. Paradigm [?] which are the paradigm shifts you're talking about.

Alan: Yes and the dime also had the symbol of the Fasces on it as well, we mustn't forget that.

Dale: Really? Yeah, the back of it; another thing is you talked about the people with fair skin that thanked god that they had fair skin and dark hair and then there were the blonde and red-haired and a big subject on the net now is the RH Negative and I've traced it and all the people that separate themselves from others, the Basques, the Berbers, the Amish in the United States, they were 25% RH negative, which if you know anything about the recessive nature of RH Negative blood, there shouldn't be any anymore, because if people truly bred freely, being the recessive nature, there wouldn't be any more, so they realised and that's why I believe they keep all the genetic records going all the way back.

Alan: It's incredible isn't it? When you realise they've taking the samples for years, not telling the public, and even at the Human Genome Project, was on the go for so long before we'd even heard the term, so they understood what they were looking for and, even yet, they've never disclosed to the public exactly what they were looking for.

Dale: Yeah, and the Mormons have all these people that are building Ziggurat-shaped monuments and everything that have you know one man will have 24 wives and I'm sure that if you got into their background. But, I've been researching a lot

of this stuff that you usually find that these people are RH Negative and they're trying to maintain that blood line. They're also implicated in all kinds of sex crimes against children and, you know, marry their cousins, nieces and everything. But, another fascinating aspect is people that claim that they've been visited by aliens are RH Negative and women have written in to these blogs saying that my mother is A negative, my father is B Positive and I have AB Negative blood but the doctor tells me that's entirely possible, that my father is my father! Do you know anything about blood types, like, 'OK honey: who are you going to believe, me, or your lying eyes? you know. And I believe that's part of the mind control programmes that they use, you find that the highest level military officers and political figures and everything are using military bases to sexually abuse children and everything and I think its part of breeding programmes.

Alan: I'll tell you where the main breeding programmes were. They were done under the guise of Christian communities, in the 1800s, and it was a perfect cover, no one would come in and touch them or even think about it. Because, if you look at the Oneida Community in New York, which has been documented, although they burned a lot of the records in the 1940s, and H.G Wells even came over and visited them and they were in touch with different luminaries of that period, across the world. They were a breeding programme where they actually introduced the children into active sexual participation, at a very early age. They were not allowed to marry but the elders decided who could procreate with whom, for offspring, and they did keep incredible records of this. It was the most extensive breeding programme, well-protected too, by very rich people, in New York State, from the top, to even get away with that, in that era; and when you go into what they were up to, it's astonishing really that they managed to get away with so much. They were heavily protected from the top of the State, to do that.

Dale: Another thing that struck me is that people that are minorities and are persecuted and these people really aren't much persecuted because they keep themselves out of the spotlight and their practices out of the spotlight, but they claim that they're more intelligent, that they have higher IQs, you know, but what they really have is a very difficult time breeding because you know if they combine RH Positive and Negative, and a person can be half and half, if you know how both the negative and the positive antigens, you know, in their genetic code.

Alan: They're also so far ahead of this; and the key is too, and I'm certain of this, they were into genetic alterations, literally, long before Watson came along, with his double helix and all the rest of it. I'm pretty certain of that, and so even those problems they got ironed out, through science. They were definitely studying genes, as I say, from records, such as from the top mathematicians in the 1920s, who were working on projects to do with genes. Now you wouldn't need a mathematician like Rutherford unless you actually could see the genes and - supposedly - they weren't discovered till much later. However, his own autobiography says that he worked on a project where it was to do with the genes. So, I think they were into this a long time ago, so any problems that they've had within breeding have been overcome and who knows how long they've been into artificial insemination and the altering of the sperm. I don't know if people know this, but even Victor Rothschild I mentioned earlier, his main project at University, when he was at Cambridge was on spermatozoa and that's what you want to alter, if you want to alter certain traits in the offspring. They're all into the same things you know.

Dale: They're so into breeding, breeding animals, breeding humans.

Alan: If you go back to Plato, and you go back into Plato's Republic, and he talks about the standard way to breed them was like domesticated animals, until you get the perfect pair, which you just keep inbreeding from then on. This has been an agenda for an awful long time and we also know too, that, from the Project Paperclip, where they brought so many Nazi scientists in from Germany to Britain and the US, a lot of those guys, I think were interbred as well; and their offspring as well. Some of their offspring went to special schools, just for them.

Dale: Well and they've mentioned that the head of NASA, I forget his name, but he was brought over, but he had married his niece I believe; but another interesting.

Alan: We'll have to go now, there's the music coming in. I'll be back after this break.

=== BREAK ===

Hi folks, I am Alan Watt and this is Cutting Through The Matrix and we've got Derek back on the line from Philly, are you there Derek?

Derek: Yes, yes I'm here, I'm back now, thank you Alan. OK, well, before I was cut off, I was speaking about Jordan Maxwell; and, in particular, I wanted to comment on him, not really to you, but more for your listeners, because they might not see it as easily. If anyone listens to that Alex Jones show, he was actually going on and on, just alluding to things and never actually going into any concrete details or anything and he talks about all the right things, you know, he says the nice things for people who might be on the path, treading the path, that they might be attracted to, but I don't like him personally. So, I just wanted to say that and thank you, Alan, you're great; you're the real deal.

Alan: Thanks for calling. I've never listened to Jordan for, I saw his early tapes and I know he was on Bill Cooper but Bill Cooper eventually denounced him for, apparently, putting most of his efforts into destroying Christianity. It was odd, at that time, to think Jordan was sponsored by a group that called themselves some kind of humanist society and that was at the end of his tapes that he was selling, at that time. You always have to ask yourself who sponsors whom etc., and why. People should go into depth of their doctrine, if they can go into depth with it, and at least explain how they see the world and really where it's going. If we start just chasing space aliens and all that, well good luck if you ever capture one and get them to admit it, because, until you do, you're left in la-la land and imagination; and you leave the big organisations, which you can approach, alone. You don't go after them, you don't go after the NGOs; you don't bother exposing the ones who are actually openly doing what they're doing to the world and to your life right now, when you're chasing all these illusions. That is a strategy as well, if you want to get people off your back, just say it's the aliens and people will run around in circles forever, works very-very well. Sad thing but true.

What I've noticed as well: a lot, an awful lot of the old books that were published in the 1800s, by the Freemasonic Associations, which are full of a lot of nonsense, to with mysticism etc., put out there on purpose to attract members in, are now being republished again as though what they were saying was actual truth, by certain authors. It's just rehashing of the same old stuff and when you have the old books, you can recognise them right away, it's just the same stuff they're copying

into new books and pushing out there again and people gobble the stuff up, they gobble up the fantasy. Remember what Weishaupt said: the greatest way to get people into this organisation is to present them with a great mystery; a great mystery, and you can dangle them for years as they go through degrees and do hoola hoops and do cartwheels and do whatever you want with them and they'll never learn what the secrets are - because he says there are no secrets at the top. Meanwhile, they're happy, obedient workers for your agenda.

Ever wonder why Freemasonry, this charitable organisation, are the main ones behind chipping the children? Look at their websites; they proudly sponsor this, these events, chipping the children. High technology, tracking and chasing children, which will end up being throughout your whole life remember, you turn into an adult eventually, with the same fingerprints and the same chip.

Well, that's the music coming in. So, from Hamish and myself in Ontario, Canada: it's goodnight and may your God, or your gods, go with you.

Transcribed by Bill Scott.

Book: (continued)"Hope of the Wicked: The Master Plan to Rule the World" by Ted Flynn

Articles:

"CCTV cameras 'listen for trouble' " by Kenneth Macdonald (news.bbc.co.uk) - Feb. 13, 2009.)

"New law to give police access to online exchanges" by Bill Curry (theglobeandmail.com) - Feb. 12, 2009.)

Alan's Materials Available for Purchase and Ordering Information:

- | | |
|---------------------|---|
| <u>BOOKS</u> | "Cutting Through" & "Waiting for the Miracle....." |
| | Volumes 1, 2, 3 |
| | Also available in Spanish or Portuguese translation:
"Esperando el Milagro....." (Español) & "Esperando um Milagre....." (Português) |
| | Ancient Religions and History MP3 |
| <u>CDs</u> | CDs: & Blurbs and 'Cutting Through the Matrix' Shows on MP3 CDs (Up to 50 Hours per Disc) |
| | Part 1 (1998) and |
| | Part 2 (1998-2000) |
| <u>DVDs</u> | "Reality Check Part 1" & "Reality Check Part 2 - Wisdom, Esoterica and ...TIME" |